arXiv:1409.1093v1 [math.RA] 3 Sep 2014

On the axioms defining a quadratic Jordan division algebras

Matthias Grüninger*
Université catholique de Louvain
Institute pour la recherche en mathématique et physique
Chemin du Cyclotron 2, bte. L7.01.02
1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgique
E-Mail:matthias.grueninger@uclouvain.be

September 4, 2014

Abstract

Quadratic Jordan algebras are defined by identities that have to hold strictly, i.e that continue to hold in every scalar extension. In this paper we show that strictness is not required for quadratic Jordan division algebras.
Keywords: Quadratic Jordan algebras, Moufang sets

1 Basics

We begin with the classical definition of Jordan algebras.

Definition 1.1 *Let k be a field with $chark \neq 2$.*

(a) A commutative, unital k-algebra J is called a (linear) Jordan algebra if

$$\text{(J)} \qquad a^2 \cdot (ba) = (a^2 \cdot b) \cdot a$$

holds for all $a, b \in J$.

(b) A non-zero element a of Jordan algebra J is called invertible if there is an element $a^{-1} \in J$ with $a \cdot a^{-1} = 1$ and $a^2 \cdot a^{-1} = a$.

(c) A Jordan algebra is called a Jordan division algebra if every non-zero element is invertible.

*supported by the ERC (grant # 278 469)

The standard example for a Jordan algebra arises in the following way: Let A be an associative k-algebra. Define a new multiplication $\circ$ on A by

$$a \circ b = \frac{1}{2}(ab + ba).$$

Then $A^+ = (J, \circ)$ is a Jordan algebra.

As one can already see in this example, the constraint that $char k \neq 2$ is necessary. One can see that a commutative algebra over a field of characteristic 2 which satisfies (J) is associative. But since Jordan algebras have been a useful tool to describe some algebraic groups that are also defined over fields of even characteristic, one has to alter the definition of a Jordan algebra to include the case characteristic 2. In 1966 Kevin McCrimmon came up with new definition for Jordan algebras which works for a field of arbitrary characteristic (see [3]). For convenience, we first introduce some more definitions.

Definition 1.2 *Let k be a field of arbitrary characteristic.*

(a) If V, W are two vector-spaces or k, then a map $Q : V \to W$ is called quadratic if $Q(tv) = t^2 Q(v)$ for all $t \in k, v \in V$ and if there is a k-bilinear map $f : V \times V \to W$ with $Q(v + w) = Q(v) + Q(w) + f(v, w)$ for all $v, w \in V$.

(b) A quadratic algebra over k is a pair (J, Q) where J is a k-vectorspace and $Q : J \to End_k(J) : a \mapsto Q_a$ is quadratic.

(c) For a quadratic algebra (J, Q) and $a, b \in J$ one defines the maps $Q_{a,b}, V_{a,b} : J \to J$ by $cQ_{a,b} = cQ_{a+b} - cQ_a - cQ_b$ and $cV_{a,b} = bQ_{a,c}$ for $c \in J$. Of course, one has $cV_{a,b} = aV_{c,b}$ for all $a, b, c \in J$. The map $J \times J \to End_k(J) : (a, b) \mapsto V_{a,b}$ is k-bilinear.

(d) An element $a \in J$ is called invertible if Q_a is invertible. The element $a^{-1} := aQ_a^{-1}$ is called the inverse of a. We denote the se t of invertible elements of J by J^*.

*(e) If K is an extension field of k, then one defines the quadratic algebra $J_K := (K \otimes_k J, \hat{Q})$ by $\hat{Q}_{\sum_{i=1}^n t_i \otimes a_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^2 Q_{a_i} + \sum_{i<j} t_i t_j Q_{a_i, a_j}$. We say that an identity in J holds **strictly** if it holds in J_K for all extensions K/k.*

Definition 1.3 *Let (J, Q) be a quadratic algebra over k and $1 \in J^\# := J \setminus \{0\}$. Then $(J, Q, 1)$ is called a weak quadratic Jordan algebra if the following holds for all $a, b \in J$.*

(QJ1) $Q_1 = id_J$.

(QJ2) $Q_a V_{a,b} = V_{b,a} Q_a$.

(QJ3) $Q_{bQ_a} = Q_a Q_b Q_a$.

A weak quadratic Jordan algebra is called a quadratic Jordan algebra if (QJ1)-(QJ3) hold strictly, i.e. if J_K is a weak quadratic Jordan algebra for all extension fields K/k.

Remark 1.4 *(a) If J is a weak quadratic Jordan algebra and $a \in J$ is invertible, then we have $Q_{a^{-1}} = Q_a^{-1}$. Indeed, we have $a = a^{-1}Q_a$ and thus with (QJ3)*

$$Q_a = Q_{a^{-1}Q_a} = Q_a Q_{a^{-1}} Q_a,$$

hence $Q_a^{-1} = Q_{a^{-1}}$. Note that if $a, b \in J$ are invertible, then aQ_b and a^{-1} are also invertible.

(b) (QJ1)-(QJ3) hold strictly iff their linearized versions holds. It is clear that (QJ1) always holds strictly if it holds, and (QJ2) and (QJ3) hold strictly iff additionally

(QJ2)* $Q_{a_1}V_{a_2,b} + Q_{a_1,a_2}V_{a_1,b} = V_{b,a_1}Q_{a_1,a_2} + V_{b,a_2}Q_{a_1}$.

(QJ3)* $Q_{bQ_{a_1},bQ_{a_1,a_2}} = Q_{a_1,a_2}Q_bQ_{a_1} + Q_{a_1}Q_bQ_{a_1,a_2}$.

*(QJ3**)* $Q_{bQ_{a_1,a_2}} + Q_{bQ_{a_2},bQ_{a_1}} = Q_{a_1}Q_bQ_{a_2} + Q_{a_2}Q_bQ_{a_1} + Q_{a_1,a_2}Q_bQ_{a_1,a_2}$.

hold for all $a_1, a_2, b \in J$. One sees easily that (QJ3) and (QJ3) imply (QJ3**). If $|k| \geq 3$, then then (QJ2*) follows from (QJ2), and if $|k| \geq 4$, then (QJ3*) follows from (QJ3). Thus if $|k| \geq 4$, then every weak quadratic Jordan algebra is a quadratic Jordan algebra.*

(c) The author doesn't know an example of a weak quadratic Jordan algebra which is not a quadratic Jordan algebra.

(d) Let J be a linear Jordan algebra over k. For $a \in J$ define $Q_a : J \to J$ by $bQ_a = -a^2 \cdot b + 2a \cdot (a \cdot b)$. Then $(J, Q, 1)$ is a quadratic Jordan algebra. If $chark \neq 2$ and J is a quadratic Jordan algebra, then we define a multiplication $\cdot$ on J by $a \cdot b = \frac{1}{2}1Q_{a,b}$. Then one can show that J is a linear Jordan algebra. Therefore for $chark \neq 2$ these two concepts coincide (see for example [3]). Moreover, an element is invertible in the linear Jordan algebra iff it is invertible in the quadratic Jordan algebra.

Example 1.5 *Let R be a unital, associative algebra over k. For $a \in R$ define $Q_a : R \to R : b \mapsto bab$. Then $R^+ := (R, Q, 1)$ is a quadratic Jordan algebra.*

We now introduce the important concept of an isotope.

Definition 1.6 *Let $J = (J, Q)$ be a quadratic algebra and $a \in J^*$. We define the a-isotope $J^a = (J, Q^a)$ of J by $xQ_y^a = xQ_a^{-1}Q_y$ for all $x, y \in J$.*

Lemma 1.7 *Let J be a quadratic algebra. For $a, b, c \in J$ we have*

(a) $Q_a^a = id_J$.

(b) $Q_{b,c}^a = Q_a^{-1}Q_{b,c}$.

(c) $V^a_{b,c} = V_{b,cQ_a^{-1}}$.

Proof. (a) and (b) are clear. For (c) let $x \in J$. Then we have

$$xV^a_{b,c} = cQ^a_{b,x} = cQ_a^{-1}Q_{b,x} = xV_{b,cQ_a^{-1}}.$$

Thus the claim follows. □

Proposition 1.8 *Let J be a (weak) quadratic Jordan algebra and $a \in J^*$. Then $J^a = (J, Q^a, a)$ is also a (weak) quadratic Jordan algebra.*

Proof. It is clear that (QJ1) holds. Let $b, c, x \in J$. Then we have

$$xQ^a_bV^a_{b,c} = xQ_a^{-1}Q_bV_{b,cQ_a^{-1}} = xQ_a^{-1}V_{cQ_a^{-1},b}Q_b = bQ_{cQ_a^{-1},xQ_a^{-1}}Q_b =$$

$$bQ_a^{-1}Q_{c,x}Q_a^{-1}Q_b = xV_{cbQ_a^{-1}}Q^a_b = xV^a_{c,b}Q^a_b.$$

This shows (QJ2). Moreover, we have

$$Q^a_{bQ^a_c} = Q_a^{-1}Q_{bQ_a^{-1}Q_c} = Q_a^{-1}Q_cQ_{bQ_a^{-1}}Q_c = Q_a^{-1}Q_cQ_a^{-1}Q_bQ_a^{-1}Q_c = Q^a_cQ^a_bQ^a_c.$$

This shows (QJ3). Hence if J is a weak quadratic Jordan algebra, so is J^a. Moreover, we have $(K \otimes_k J)^a = K \otimes_k J^a$ for all extension fields K/k, thus J^a is a quadratic Jordan algebra if J is. □

Definition 1.9 *(a) If $(J, Q, 1)$ and $(J', Q', 1')$ are weak quadratic Jordan algebras over k, then a Jordan homomorphism between J and J' is a homomorphism $f : J \to J'$ such that $f(1) = 1'$ and $f(aQ_b) = f(a)Q'_{f(b)}$ for all $a, b \in J$ holds.*

(b) If $(J, Q, 1)$ and $(J', Q', 1')$ are weak quadratic Jordan algebras over k, then J and J' are called isotopic iff J' is isomorphic to an isotope of J.

(c) Let J' be a subspace of a weak quadratic Jordan algebra J. Then J' is called a Jordan subalgebra of J if $e \in J$ and if $J'Q_a \subseteq J'$ for all $a \in J'$ holds.

(d) A quadratic Jordan algebra J is called special if there is an associative K-algebra R such that J is isomorphic to a Jordan subalgebra of R^+.

In this paper we are mainly interested in (weak) quadratic Jordan division algebras.

Definition 1.10 *A (weak) quadratic Jordan algebra is called a (weak) quadratic Jordan division algebra if every non-zero element in J is invertible.*

The theory of quadratic Jordan division algebras is connected with the theory of Moufang sets.

Definition 1.11 *A Moufang set consists of a set X with $|X| \geq 3$ and a family $(U_x)_{x\in X}$ of subgroups in $SymX$ such that the following holds:*

(a) For all $x \in X$ the group U_x fixes x and acts regularly on $X \setminus \{x\}$.

(b) For all $x, y \in X$ and all $g \in U_x$ we have $U_y^g = U_{yg}$.

*The groups U_x are called the **root groups** of the Moufang set. The group $G^\dagger := \langle U_x; x \in X\rangle$ is called the little projective group of the Moufang set. $G^\dagger$ is a 2-transitive subgroup of $SymX$. The Moufang set is called **proper** if $G^\dagger$ is not sharply 2-transitive and **improper** else.*

Example 1.12 *(a) Let X be a set with at least 3 elements, $G \leq SymX$ be a sharply 2-transitive group. Then $(X, (G_x)_{x\in X})$ is an improper Moufang set with little projective group $G^\dagger = G$.*

(b) Let k be a field, $X := \mathbb{P}^1(k)$ and U_x be the subgroup of $PSL_2(k) \leq SymX$ induced by the group of unipotent matrices that fix x. Then $(X, (U_x)_{x\in X}$ is a Moufang set with little projective group $G^\dagger = PSL_2(k)$. It is proper iff $|k| \geq 4$.

The second construction can be generalized to weak quadratic Jordan divsion algebras. In [2] the author showed the following.

Theorem 1.13 *Every weak quadratic Jordan division algebra defines a Moufang set $\mathbb{M}(J)$ with root groups isomorphic to $(J, +)$. The algebra J is determined by $\mathbb{M}(J)$ up to isotopy.*

De Medts and Weiss didn't use the concept of a weak quadratic Jordan algebra and formulated their theorem for quadratic Jordan division algebras, but their proof doesn't make use of the strictness of (QJ1)-(QJ3), so it also holds for weak Jordan division algebras.
One of the big open problems concerning Moufang sets is the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1.14 *If $(X, (U_x)_{x\in X})$ is a proper Moufang set with U_x abelian for all $x \in X$, then there is a field k and a quadratic Jordan division algebra J over k such that $(X, (U_x)_{x\in X})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{M}(J)$.*

If 1.14 is true, then one has a classification of proper Moufang sets with abelian root groups since quadratic Jordan division algebras have been classified by McCrimmon and Zel'manov (see [4]). The proof follows from the classification of simple quadratic Jordan algebras over an algebraically closed field, therefore it is essential that scalar extensions are allowed. There has been progress in proving 1.14 (see [1]), but in general this conjecture is still open. However, if there would be a weak quadratic Jordan division algebra which is not a quadatric Jordan algebra, then conjecture 1.14 would be false. Such an algebra could exist over $\mathbb{F}_2$ or $\mathbb{F}_3$. In this paper we will prove that no such algebra exists.

MAIN THEOREM Every weak quadratic Jordan division algebra is a quadratic Jordan algebra.

2 Some useful identities

In the following let $(J, Q, 1)$ be a weak quadratic Jordan algebra.

Lemma 2.1 *$yQ_{aQ_x,x} = aQ_{yQ_x,x}$ for all $a, x, y \in J$.*

Proof. (QJ2) implies $xQ_{a,y}Q_x = yV_{a,x}Q_x = yQ_xV_{x,a} = aQ_{x,yQ_x}$. Since the first expression is symmetric in a and y, so is the second. Hence we get $aQ_{x,yQ_x} = yQ_{aQ_x,x}$. □

Lemma 2.2 *For all $x \in J$ we have $Q_{x,1} = V_{x,1} = V_{1,x}$.*

Proof. By (QJ2) we have $V_{x,1} = V_{x,1}Q_1 = Q_1V_{1,x} = V_{1,x}$. We have $xQ_{1,y} = yV_{1,x} = yV_{x,1} = 1Q_{x,y}$ for all $y \in j$. Since the last expression is symmetric in x and y, so is the first. Thus we have $yV_{1,x} = xQ_{1,y} = yQ_{1,x}$. □

Lemma 2.3 *If $a \in J^*$ and $b \in J$, then we have $V_{x,a^{-1}} = V_{a,xQ_a^{-1}} = Q_a^{-1}Q_{x,a}$.*

Proof. We apply 2.2 for the isotope J^a and have

$$V^a_{x,a} = V^a_{a,x} = Q^a_{a,x}$$

and therefore

$$V_{x,a^{-1}} = V_{a,xQ_a^{-1}} = Q_a^{-1}Q_{a,x}.$$

□

Lemma 2.4 *$Q_{1,x}Q_x = Q_xQ_{1,x}$ for all $x \in J$.*

Proof. We have $Q_xQ_{1,x} = Q_xV_{x,1} = V_{1,x}Q_x = Q_{1,x}Q_x$ by (QJ2) and 2.2. □

Lemma 2.5 *If $x \in J^*$, then $Q_x^{-1}V_{a,x} = V_{x,a}Q_x^{-1} = Q_{a,x^{-1}}$ for all $a \in J$.*

Proof. We have $aQ_{yQ_x,x} = yQ_{aQ_x,x} = yQ_xQ_{a,x^{-1}}Q_x$ for all $a \in J$ by (QJ3) and 2.1. Replacing y by yQ_x^{-1}, we get $yV_{x,a} = aQ_{y,x} = yQ_{a,x^{-1}}Q_x$. Thus the second equation follows. The first now follows from (QJ2). □

Lemma 2.6 *If $x, y \in J^*$, then we have*

$$Q_x^{-1}Q_{x+y}Q_y^{-1} = Q_{x^{-1}+y^{-1}}.$$

Proof. Using the previous lemma for x^{-1} and y, we have

$$Q_x^{-1}Q_{x,y}Q_y^{-1} = V_{y,x^{-1}}Q_y^{-1} = Q_{y^{-1},x^{-1}}.$$

Since $Q_x^{-1}Q_xQ_y^{-1} = Q_y^{-1}$ and $Q_x^{-1}Q_yQ_y^{-1} = Q_x^{-1}$, we get

$$Q_x^{-1}Q_{x+y}Q_y^{-1} = Q_x^{-1}(Q_x+Q_y+Q_{x,y})Q_y^{-1} = Q_y^{-1}+Q_x^{-1}+Q_{x^{-1},y^{-1}} = Q_{x^{-1}+y^{-1}}.$$

□

We will also make use of the following "Hua-identity" for weak quadratic Jordan division algebras. It was proved by De Medts and Weiss in [2] in order to show that a quadratic Jordan division algebra defines a Moufang set. As mentioned before, the proof doesn't make use of the strictness of (QJ1)-(QJ3), so it still holds for weak quadratic Jordan division algebras.

Theorem 2.7 *Let J be a weak quadratic Jordan divsion algebra and $a, b \in J^*$ with $a \neq b^{-1}$. Then we have*

$$aQ_b = b - (b^{-1} - (b - a^{-1})^{-1})^{-1}.$$

3 Derivations and anti-derivations of weak quadratic Jordan algebras

Definition 3.1 *Let J be a weak quadratic Jordan algebra and $\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$. A linear map $\delta : J \to J$ is called an ϵ-derivation if $\delta(aQ_b) = \epsilon\delta(a)Q_b + aQ_{b,\delta(b)}$ holds for all $a, b \in J$.*

We will call the $+$-derivations just *derivations* and the $-$-derivations *anti-derivations.*

Example 3.2 *Let A be an associative algebra and $J \subseteq A$ a special quadratic Jordan algebra. If $\delta : A \to A$ is a(n anti-)derivation of A with $\delta(J) \leq J$, then δ induces a(n anti-) derivation of J. Indeed, for $a, b \in J$ we have $\delta(aQ_b) = \delta(bab) = \delta(b)ab + \epsilon b\delta(ab) = \delta(b)ab + \epsilon b\delta(a)b + \epsilon^2 ba\delta(b) = \epsilon\delta(a)Q_b + aQ_{b,\delta(b)}$ with $\epsilon = +$ if δ is a derivation and $\epsilon = -$ for δ an anti-derivation.*

Lemma 3.3 *Let δ be an ϵ-derivation for $\epsilon = \pm$ and $a, b, c \in J$. Then we have:*

(a) $\delta(aQ_{b,c}) = \epsilon\delta(a)Q_{b,c} + aQ_{\delta(b),c} + aQ_{b,\delta(c)}$ *and* $\delta(aV_{b,c}) = \delta(a)V_{b,c} + aV_{\delta(b),c} + \epsilon aV_{b,\delta(c)}$ *for all $a, b, c \in J$.*

(b) If $a \in J^*$, then $\delta(a^{-1}) = -\epsilon\delta(a)Q_a^{-1}$.

(c) The identity is an anti-derivation.

(d) If chark $\neq 2$ and δ a derivation, then $\delta(1) = 0$.

(e) If chark $= 2$ and δ is a derivation, then $Q_{1,\delta(1)} = 0$.

(f) If chark $\neq 2$ and δ is an anti-derivation, then $\delta(a) = \frac{1}{2}aQ_{1,\delta(1)}$.

Proof.

(a) The first equation follows by linearizing the defining property of an ϵ-derivation. The second equation can be obtained by the first.

(b) We have $\delta(a^{-1}) = \delta(aQ_{a^{-1}}) = \epsilon\delta(a)Q_{a^{-1}} + aQ_{a^{-1},\delta(a^{-1})}$. Now $aQ_{a^{-1},\delta(a^{-1})} = aV_{a,\delta(a^{-1})}Q_a^{-1} = \delta(a^{-1})Q_{a,a}Q_a^{-1} = 2\delta(a^{-1})$ by 2.5. Thus we get $-\delta(a^{-1}) = \epsilon\delta(a)Q_{a^{-1}} = \epsilon\delta(a)Q_a^{-1}$.

(c) We have $id(aQ_b) = aQ_b = -id(a)Q_b + aQ_{b,id(b)}$, which shows that the identity is an anti-derivation.

(d) We have $\delta(1) = \delta(1^{-1}) = -\delta(1)Q_1^{-1} = -\delta(1)$, thus the claim follows.

(e) For all $a \in J$ we have

$$\delta(a) = \delta(aQ_1) = \delta(a)Q_1 + aQ_{1,\delta(1)} = \delta(a) + aQ_{1,\delta(1)},$$

hence the claim follows.

(f) We have

$$\delta(a) = \delta(aQ_1) = -\delta(a)Q_1 + aQ_{1,\delta(1)} = -\delta(a) + aQ_{1,\delta(1)}$$

and thus $\delta(a) = \frac{1}{2}aQ_{1,\delta(1)}$.

□

We set $\mathfrak{D}_\epsilon(J) := \{\delta \in End_k(J); \delta \text{ is an } \epsilon\text{-derivation of } J\}$ and $\mathfrak{D}(J) = \mathfrak{D}_1(J) + \mathfrak{D}_{-1}(J)$. If $chark = 2$, then we have $\mathfrak{D}_+(J) = \mathfrak{D}_-(J) = \mathfrak{D}(J)$, while for $chark \neq 2$ we have $\mathfrak{D}(J) = \mathfrak{D}_+(J) \oplus \mathfrak{D}_-(J)$. We call the elements of $\mathfrak{D}(J)$ *generalized derivations*.

Lemma 3.4 *For $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \{+, -\}$ we have $[\mathfrak{D}_{\epsilon_1}(J), \mathfrak{D}_{\epsilon_2}(J)] \subseteq \mathfrak{D}_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}(J)$. Especially $\mathfrak{D}_+(J)$ and $\mathfrak{D}(J)$ are Lie subalgebras of $End_k(J)$, and if $chark \neq 2$, then $\mathfrak{D}(J)$ is Z_2-graded.*

Proof. For $i = 1, 2$ let $\delta_i \in \mathfrak{D}_{\epsilon_i}(J)$. For $a, b \in J$ we have

$$\delta_1(\delta_2(aQ_b)) = \delta_1(\epsilon_2\delta_2(a)Q_b + aQ_{b,\delta_2(b)}) =$$

$$\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\delta_1(\delta_2(a))Q_b + \epsilon_2\delta_2(a)Q_{b,\delta_1(b)} + \epsilon_1\delta_1(a)Q_{b,\delta_2(b)} + aQ_{\delta_1(b),\delta_2(b)} + aQ_{\delta_1(\delta_2(b)),b}$$

and analogously

$$\delta_2(\delta_1(aQ_b)) = \epsilon_1\epsilon_2\delta_2(\delta_1(a))Q_b + \epsilon_1\delta_1(a)Q_{b,\delta_2(b)} + \epsilon_2\delta_2(a)Q_{b,\delta_1(b)}$$

$$+aQ_{\delta_1(b),\delta_2(b)} + aQ_{\delta_2(\delta_1(b)),b}.$$

Thus we get

$$[\delta_1, \delta_2](aQ_b) = \epsilon_1\epsilon_2\delta_1(\delta_2(a))Q_b - \delta_2(\delta_1(a))Q_b + aQ_{\delta_1(\delta_2(b)),b} - aQ_{\delta_1(\delta_2(b)),b} =$$

$$\epsilon_1\epsilon_2[\delta_1, \delta_2](a)Q_b + aQ_{[\delta_1,\delta_2](b),b}.$$

Hence the claim follows. □

Lemma 3.5 *If J is a quadratic Jordan algebra, then for all $a \in J$ the map $Q_{1,a}$ is an anti-derivation of J.*

Proof. $Q_{1,a}$ is an anti-derivation iff for all $b \in J$ we have

$$Q_b Q_{1,a} = -Q_{1,a} Q_b + Q_{b,bQ_{1,a}}.$$

But this is just identity (QJ3*) with $a_1 = 1$ and $a_2 = a$ which holds by definition in quadratic Jordan algebras. □

Remark 3.6 *Let J be a linear Jordan algebra. For $a, b, x \in J$ define the associator $\{a, x, b\} := (a \cdot x) \cdot b - a \cdot (x \cdot b)$. Now by 1.4(d) $Q_{1,a}$ corresponds to the map $x \mapsto 2a \cdot x$. Thus for all $a, b \in J$ the map $[Q_{1,a}, Q_{1,b}]$ is a derivation of J. Hence*

$$x[Q_{1,a}, Q_{1,b}] = 4(b \cdot (a \cdot x) - a \cdot (b \cdot x)) = 4((a \cdot x) \cdot b - (a \cdot (x \cdot b))) = 4\{a, x, b\}.$$

Thus the map $x \mapsto \{a, x, b\}$ is a derivation of J. Moreover, if δ is an anti-derivation of (the quadratic Jordan algebra) J, then $\delta(a) = 2a \cdot \delta(1)$ by 3.3(f). One can easily prove that a linear map $\delta : J \to J$ is a derivation of (the quadratic Jordan algebra) J iff $\delta(a \cdot b) = \delta(a) \cdot b + a \cdot \delta(b)$ for all $a, b \in J$, which is the usual definition of a derivation of a linear Jordan algebra.

Theorem 3.7 *Let J be a weak quadratic Jordan algebra. Suppose that for all $a, y \in J^*$ there is a generalized derivation δ with $\delta(a) = y$. Then J is a quadratic Jordan algebra.*

Proof. Let $a \in J$. We set

$$L_J(a) := \{y \in J : V_{b,y} Q_a + V_{b,a} Q_{a,y} = Q_a V_{y,b} + Q_{a,y} V_{a,b} \text{ and}$$

$$Q_{bQ_a, b_{a,y}} = Q_a Q_b Q_{a,y} + Q_{a,y} Q_b Q_a \text{ for all } b \in J\}.$$

Then $L_J(a)$ is a subspace of J. Now let $b, x \in J$. Then we have

$$\delta(xQ_aQ_bQ_a) = \epsilon\delta(xQ_aQ_b)Q_a + xQ_aQ_bQ_{a,\delta(a)} =$$

$$\delta(xQ_a)Q_bQ_a + \epsilon xQ_aQ_{b,\delta(b)}Q_a + xQ_aQ_bQ_{a,\delta(a)} =$$

$$\epsilon\delta(x)Q_aQ_bQ_a + xQ_{a,\delta(a)}Q_bQ_a + \epsilon xQ_aQ_{b,\delta(b)}Q_a + xQ_aQ_bQ_{a,\delta(a)}.$$

On the other side,

$$\delta(xQ_aQ_bQ_a) = \delta(xQ_{bQ_a}) = \epsilon\delta(x)Q_{bQ_a} + xQ_{bQ_a,\delta(bQ_a)} =$$

$$\epsilon\delta(x)Q_aQ_bQ_a + xQ_{bQ_a,\epsilon\delta(b)Q_a} + xQ_{bQ_a,bQ_{a,\delta(a)}} =$$

$$\epsilon\delta(x)Q_bQ_aQ_b + \epsilon xQ_aQ_{b,\delta(b)}Q_a + xQ_{bQ_a,bQ_{a,\delta(a)}}.$$

Hence we get

$$Q_{a,\delta(a)}Q_bQ_a + Q_aQ_bQ_{a,\delta(a)} = Q_{bQ_a,bQ_{a,\delta(a)}}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\delta(xV_{b,a}Q_a) = \epsilon\delta(xV_{b,a})Q_a + xV_{b,a}Q_{a,\delta(a)} =$$

$$\epsilon\delta(x)V_{b,a}Q_a + xV_{b,\delta(a)}Q_a + \epsilon xV_{\delta(b),a}Q_a + xV_{b,a}Q_{a,\delta(a)}.$$

On the other side, we have

$$\delta(xV_{b,a}Q_a) = \delta(xQ_a, V_{a,b}) = \delta(xQ_a)V_{a,b} + xQ_aV_{\delta(a),b} + \epsilon xQ_aV_{a,\delta(b)} =$$

$$\epsilon\delta(x)Q_aV_{a,b} + xQ_{a,\delta(a)}V_{a,b} + xQ_aV_{\delta(a),b} + \epsilon xQ_aV_{a,\delta(b)}.$$

Thus we get

$$V_{b,\delta(a)}Q_a + V_{b,a}Q_{a,\delta(a)} = Q_aV_{\delta(a),b} + Q_{a,\delta(a)}V_{a,b}.$$

This shows that $\delta(a) \in L_J(a)$ for all $\delta \in \mathfrak{D}_\epsilon(J)$, $\epsilon = \pm$. Thus the claim follows. □

4 The proof of the main theorem

Theorem 4.1 *Let J be a weak Jordan division algebra, $\epsilon \in \{+,-\}$ and $\delta \in End_k(J)$ with $\delta(a^{-1}) = -\epsilon\delta(a)Q_a^{-1}$ for all $a \in J^*$. Then δ is an ϵ-derivation.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in J^*$ with $a \neq b^{-1}$. Then we have by the Hua-identity

$$aQ_b = b - (b^{-1} - (b - a^{-1})^{-1})^{-1}.$$

Thus we get

$$\delta(aQ_b) = \delta(b) - \delta(b^{-1} - (b - a^{-1})^{-1})^{-1}) =$$

$$\delta(b) + \epsilon(\delta(b^{-1}) - \delta((b - a^{-1})^{-1}))Q^{-1}_{b^{-1}-(b-a^{-1})^{-1}} =$$

$$\delta(b) + \epsilon(-\epsilon\delta(b)Q_b^{-1} + \epsilon\delta(b - a^{-1})Q^{-1}_{b-a^{-1}})Q^{-1}_{b^{-1}-(b-a^{-1})^{-1}} =$$

$$\delta(b) - \delta(b)Q_b^{-1}Q^{-1}_{b^{-1}-(b-a^{-1})^{-1}} + \delta(b)Q^{-1}_{b-a^{-1}}Q^{-1}_{b^{-1}-(b-a^{-1})^{-1}} +$$

$$\epsilon\delta(a)Q_a^{-1}Q^{-1}_{b-a^{-1}}Q^{-1}_{b^{-1}-(b-a^{-1})^{-1}}.$$

Now for $x = b^{-1}$ and $y = -(b - a^{-1})^{-1}$ we have by 2.6

$$Q_{b^{-1}-(b-a^{-1})^{-1}} = Q_{b^{-1}}Q_{b-(b-a^{-1})}Q_{-(b-a^{-1})^{-1}} =$$

$$Q_b^{-1}Q_a^{-1}Q_{b-a^{-1}}$$

and with $x = -(b - a^{-1})^{-1}$ and $y = b^{-1}$ we get

$$Q_{b^{-1}-(b-a^{-1})^{-1}} = Q_{-(b-a^{-1})^{-1}+b^{-1}} = Q^{-1}_{b-a^{-1}}Q_a^{-1}Q_b^{-1}.$$

Thus we get using 2.5 and (QJ2)

$$\delta(aQ_b) = \delta(b) - \delta(b)Q_aQ_{b-a^{-1}} + \delta(b)Q_aQ_b + \epsilon\delta(a)Q_b =$$

$$\epsilon\delta(a)Q_b + \delta(b)Q_a(Q_{-a^{-1}} - Q_{b-a^{-1}} + Q_b) = \epsilon\delta(a)Q_b - \delta(b)Q_aQ_{b,-a^{-1}} =$$

$$\epsilon\delta(a)Q_b + \delta(b)Q_aQ_{a^{-1},b} = \epsilon\delta(a)Q_b + \delta(b)V_{b,a} =$$

$$\epsilon\delta(a)Q_b + aQ_{b,\delta(b)}.$$

as desired.
We still have to prove $\delta(aQ_b) = \epsilon\delta(a) + aQ_{b,\delta(b)}$ for $b \in \{0, a^{-1}\}$. The statement is clear for $b = 0$, while we have by 2.5

$$\epsilon\delta(a)Q_{a^{-1}} + aQ_{a^{-1},\delta(a^{-1})} = \epsilon\delta(a)Q_a^{-1} + aV_{a,\delta(a^{-1})}Q_a^{-1} =$$

$$-\epsilon^2\delta(a^{-1}) + \delta(a^{-1})Q_{a,a}Q_a^{-1} = -\delta(a^{-1}) + 2\delta(a^{-1}) = \delta(a^{-1}) = \delta(aQ_{a^{-1}}).$$

□

Lemma 4.2 *Let J be a weak quadratic Jordan division algebra. Then for all $a \in J$ the map $\delta_a = Q_{1,a}$ is an anti-derivation of J.*

Proof. We have by 2.2, 2.4 and 2.5

$$\delta_a(x^{-1}) = x^{-1}Q_{1,a} = x^{-1}V_{1,a} = aQ_{1,x^{-1}} = aQ_x^{-1}V_{1,x} =$$

$$aV_{1,x}Q_x^{-1} = xQ_{1,a}Q_x^{-1} = \delta_a(x)Q_x^{-1}$$

for all $x \in J^*$. □

Corollary 4.3 *For all $a \in J^*$ and all $b \in J$ the map $Q^a_{a,b} = V^a_{a,b} = V^a_{b,a}$ is an anti-derivation of J^a.*

For odd characteristic we can show that the converse of 3.5 holds. Thus 4.2 implies that a weak quadratic Jordan division algebra in odd characteristic is a quadratic Jordan algebra.

Theorem 4.4 *Let J be a weak quadratic Jordan algebra over a field k with chark $\neq 2$. Suppose that for all $a \in J$ the map $Q_{1,a}$ is an anti-derivation of J. For $a, b \in J$ define $a \cdot b = \frac{1}{2}aQ_{1,b}$. Then $(J, +, \cdot)$ is a linear Jordan division algebra. Thus J is a quadratic Jordan algebra.*

Proof. We have $a \cdot b = \frac{1}{2}aQ_{1,b} = \frac{1}{2}aV_{1,b} = \frac{1}{2}bQ_{1,a} = b \cdot a$ by 2.2, so $\cdot$ is commutative. Moreover, we have $1 \cdot a = a \cdot 1 = \frac{1}{2}aQ_{1,1} = \frac{1}{2}2aQ_1 = a$, so 1 is the neutral element. It remains to show that $a^2 \cdot (b \cdot a) = (a^2 \cdot b) \cdot a$ holds for all $a, b \in J$. Note that $a^2 = \frac{1}{2}aQ_{1,a} = \frac{1}{2}1Q_{a,a} = 1Q_a$. Since $Q_{1,a\cdot b}$ is an anti-derivation, we have

$$a^2 \cdot (a \cdot b) = \frac{1}{2}1Q_aQ_{1,a\cdot b} = -\frac{1}{2}1Q_{1,a\cdot b}Q_a + \frac{1}{2}1Q_{a,aQ_{1,a\cdot b}} =$$

$$-(a\cdot b)Q_a+\frac{1}{2}aQ_{1,2a\cdot(a\cdot b)}=-(a\cdot b)Q_a+2a\cdot(a\cdot(a\cdot b)).$$

Moreover, we have

$$(a^2\cdot b)\cdot a=\frac{1}{4}1Q_aQ_{1,b}Q_{1,a}=-\frac{1}{4}1Q_{1,b}Q_aQ_{1,a}+\frac{1}{4}1Q_{a,aQ_{1,b}}Q_{1,a}=$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}bQ_{1,a}Q_a+\frac{1}{4}aQ_{1,2a\cdot b}Q_{1,a}=-(a\cdot b)Q_a+(a\cdot(a\cdot b))Q_{1,a}=$$

$$-(a\cdot b)Q_a+2(a\cdot(a\cdot b))\cdot a=-(ab)Q_a+2a\cdot(a\cdot(a\cdot b)).$$

Thus $(J,\cdot)$ is a linear Jordan algebra. □

The following proof works for a field in arbitrary characteristic.

Theorem 4.5 *A weak quadratic Jordan division algebra is a Jordan division algebra.*

Proof. We have to show (QJ2*) and (QJ3*), the first only for $k=\mathbb{F}_2$. Since these equalities automatically hold if one of the elements involved is zero, we only have to show them for non-zero elements. So let $a,b,c\in J^*$. Since $Q^c_{a,b}$ is an anti-derivation of J^c by 4.3, we have

$$Q^c_aQ^c_{b,c}=-Q^c_{b,c}Q^c_a+Q^c_{aQ^c_{b,c},a},$$

hence

$$Q_c^{-1}Q_aQ_c^{-1}Q_{b,c}=-Q_c^{-1}Q_{b,c}Q_c^{-1}Q_a+Q_c^{-1}Q_{aQ_c^{-1}Q_{b,c},a}.$$

Multiplying Q_c on the left yields

$$Q_aQ_c^{-1}Q_{b,c}+Q_{b,c}Q_c^{-1}Q_a+Q_{aQ_c^{-1}Q_{b,c},a}.$$

Replacing a by aQ_c and applying (QJ3) yields

$$Q_cQ_aQ_{b,c}+Q_{b,c}Q_aQ_c=Q_{aQ_{b,c},aQ_c}.$$

This shows (QJ3*).
We now show (QJ2*). Since $Q^c_{b,c}=V^c_{b,c}=V_{c,b^c}$ is an anti-derivation of J^c, we have

$$Q^c_aV^c_{b,c}=-V^c_{b,c}Q_a+Q^c_{aV^c_{b,c},a}$$

and thus

$$Q_c^{-1}Q_aV_{b,c^{-1}}=-V_{b,c^{-1}}Q_c^{-1}Q_a+Q_c^{-1}Q_{aV_{b,c^{-1}},a}.$$

Replacing c by c^{-1} and applying (QJ2) yields

$$Q_cQ_aV_{b,c}=-Q_cV_{c,b}Q_a+Q_cQ_{cQ_{b,a},a}.$$

Multiplying Q_c^{-1} on the left yields

$$(*)\qquad Q_aV_{b,c}=-V_{c,b}Q_a+Q_{cQ_{b,a},a}.$$

Moreover, since $Q^a_{a,c} = V^a_{a,c} = V^a_{c,a}$ is an anti-derivation of J^a, we have

$$Q^a_{a,b}V^a_{a,c} = -V^a_{a,c}Q^a_{a,b} + Q^a_{aV^a_{a,c},b} + Q^a_{a,bV^a_{a,c}} = -V^a_{c,a}Q^a_{a,b} + Q^a_{aV^a_{a,c},b} + Q^a_{a,bV^a_{a,c}}.$$

Hence we have

$$Q_a^{-1}Q_{a,b}V_{a,cQ_a^{-1}} = -V_{c,a^{-1}}Q_a^{-1}Q_{a,b} + Q_a^{-1}Q_{2c,b} + Q_a^{-1}Q_{a,bV_{a,cQ_a^{-1}}}.$$

Using (QJ2) and multiplying Q_a on the left yields

$$Q_{a,b}V_{a,cQ_a^{-1}} = -V_{a^{-1},c}Q_{a,b} + 2Q_{c,b} + Q_{a,cQ_a^{-1}Q_{a,b}}.$$

Replacing c by cQ_a yields

$$Q_{a,b}V_{a,c} = -V_{a^{-1},cQ_a}Q_{a,b} + 2Q_{cQ_a,b} + Q_{a,cQ_{a,b}}.$$

By 2.3 we have $V_{a^{-1},cQ_a} = V_{c,a}$. Hence we get

$$(\dagger) \qquad Q_{a,b}V_{a,c} = -V_{c,a}Q_{a,b} + 2Q_{cQ_a,b} + Q_{a,cQ_{a,b}}.$$

Adding $(*)$ and $(\dagger)$ yields

$$Q_{a,b}V_{a,c} + Q_aV_{b,c} = -V_{c,b}Q_a - V_{c,a}Q_{a,b} + 2Q_{cQ_a,b} + 2Q_{a,cQ_{a,b}}.$$

This gives (QJ2*) for $char k = 2$. □

5 Application for Moufang sets

Let $\mathbb{M} = (X, (U_x)_{x\in X})$ be a proper Moufang set with abelian root groups. $\mathbb{M}$ can be written in the form $M(U,\tau)$ with U an (additively written) group isomorphic to a root group of $\mathbb{M}$ and τ a permutation of $U \cup \{\infty\}$ interchanging 0 and ∞, where ∞ is a symbol not contained in U.
By [5] $\mathbb{M}$ is special, so by [6], Thm. 5.2(a) U is either torsion free and uniquely divisible or an elementary-abelian p-group for a prime p. We write $charU = 0$ in the first case and $charU = p$ in the second. We can view U as a k-vectorspace for $k = \mathbb{Q}$ if $charU = 0$ and $k = \mathbb{F}_p$ if $charU = p$.
In order to give U the structure of a quadratic Jordan algebra, we need a quadratic map between U and $End_k(U)$. There is a natural candidate for this map. Choose $e \in U^\# = U \setminus \{0\}$ and set $h_a := \mu_e\mu_a$ for $a \in U^\#$ and $h_0 = 0$. Let $\mathcal{H} : U \to End_k(U); a \mapsto h_a$ (see [1] for the definition of μ_a). Then $(U, \mathcal{H}, e)$ satisfies (QJ1) and (QJ3) and one has $h_{a\tau} = h_a^{-1}$ and $h_{a\cdot s} = h_a \cdot s^2$ for $a \in U$ and all $s \in k$. Moreover, if $(U, \mathcal{H}, e)$ is a quadratic Jordan divsion algebra, then $\mathbb{M} \cong \mathbb{M}(U,\tau)$. It remains to show that (QJ2) holds and that the map $(a,b) \mapsto h_{a,b} = h_{a+b} - h_a - h_b$ is biadditive. In [1] the authors showed the following:

Theorem 5.1 *If $charU \neq 2, 3$ and if (QJ2) holds, then $(U, \mathcal{H}, e)$ is a quadratic Jordan division algebra.*

The authors had to exclude the case $charU \in \{2,3\}$ because (QJ1)-(QJ3) are required to hold strictly, which was only guaranteed if $|k| \geq 4$. But our main theorem shows that this is always the case for weak Jordan division algebras. Thus we get

Corollary 5.2 *5.1 also holds for* $charU \in \{2,3\}$.

Remark 5.3 *(a) It is sufficient to prove a weaker version of axiom (QJ2) which has to hold in all isotopes of* $(U, \mathcal{H}, e)$*, i.e. for all choices of* $e \in U \setminus \{0\}$*, compare 5.6 of [1].*

(b) If $charU \neq 2,3$*, then in order prove that* $(U, \mathcal{H}, e)$ *is a quadratic Jordan division algebra, it is also sufficient to prove that the map* $(a,b) \mapsto h_{a,b}$ *is biadditive (5.12 of [1]). In this case however the strictness is not the only obstacle for* $charU \in \{2,3\}$ *and therefore it is not yet clear if the statement is also true in this case.*

References

[1] T. De Medts, Y. Segev, *Identities in Moufang sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360**, No. 11, 5831-5852 (2008)

[2] T. De Medts, R. Weiss, *Moufang sets and Jordan division algebras*, Math. Ann. **335**, No. 2, 415-433 (2006)

[3] K. McCrimmon, *A general theory of Jordan rings*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **56**, 1072-1079 (1966).

[4] K. McCrimmon, E. Zel'manov, *The structure of strongly prime Jordan division algebras*, Adv. Math. **69**, 133-222 (1988)

[5] Y. Segev, *Proper Moufang sets with Abelian root groups are special*, J. Am. Math. Soc. **22**, No. 3, 889-908 (2009).

[6] F. G. Timmesfeld, *Abstract root subgroups and simple groups of Lie-type*. Monographs in Mathematics. **95**. Basel: Birkhäuser (2001).